REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Office Action dated October 30, 2009. Applicant

has amended Claims 1, 8, 9, 11, 18, and 19 to more clearly point out the present inventive concept. Claims 21-25 have been withdrawn. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the written description requirement. The Office Action asserts on page 3 that "the claim(s)

contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the

application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention." The Office Action indicates that

in considering Claim 1 (and similarly Claim 11) that the Claim recites "the representation of the

MRC having no routing information contained therein." The Office Action asserts that

"contradictorily, the specification recites in [0093], the bar code is the 'link' to a product" and

that "it is a reasonable interpretation to read the claimed routing information as the 'link'." The

Office Action still further asserts that "therefore, the recited limitation is not fully supported by

the specification."

Applicant has amended Claim 1 to include "forming a representation of machine

recognizable code (MRC) information contained within an MRC using the remote control device in response to the user pressing a first button of the remote control device, the representation of

the MRC having no <u>network address</u> routing information contained therein." Applicant has amended Claim 11 to include "a machine recognizable code (MRC) at said user location has a

representation of the MRC information formed by a scanning operation thereof in response to the

user pressing a first button of the remote control device, which said representation of the MRC

has no <u>network address</u> routing information contained therein." Applicant respectfully submits

that Claims 1 and 11 as amended meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Attv. Dkt. No. RPXC-26,630

Page 9 of 13

Applicant respectfully submits that the statement in paragraph [0093] of the specification

describing that the bar code 1606 as the "link" to a product is using the term "link" in a symbolic

manner, as indicated by the use of quotation marks around the term. In the embodiment described in paragraph [0093] the bar code 1606 contains no network address routing

information. Although it is used to obtain network address routing information, the bar code

1606 does not contain network address routing information in itself. Applicants have amended

Claims 1 and 11 to further clarify this feature. In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully

requests that the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of Claims 1-20 be withdrawn.

Claims 1-20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.

Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0011276 to Durst et al. (hereinafter "Durst") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,992,752 to Wilz et al. (hereinafter "Wilz"), further in view of U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2002/0059241 to Van Ryzin (hereinafter "Van Ryzin"). Applicant

respectfully traverses the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of Claims 1-20.

Claim 1 as amended includes the features of "forming a representation of machine

recognizable code (MRC) information contained within an MRC using the remote control device

in response to the user pressing a first button of the remote control device, the representation of

the MRC having no network address routing information contained therein" and "wirelessly

transmitting the representation of the MRC information contained within the MRC to a network interface device in response to the step of forming." Claim 1 further includes the feature of

"displaying the downloaded information on a display at the user location,-such that when

displayed, substantially immediate feedback of displayed information is provided to the user in response to the step of forming." Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references fail to

teach or suggest at least these features of Claim 1 as amended.

Durst describes a system in which a user first depresses a scan button 22 of a remote

control unit 10, 10A to scan a machine readable symbol 12 encoded with data representative of

the location of a resource (such as a URL). Durst system then requires that the user point the

remote control unit 10, 10A at a web-ready television 20 or television set-top conversion unit 20a and press a transmit button 24 to transmit image data captured during scanning to the web-ready

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Page 10 of 13

television 20 or the television set-top conversion unit 20a (see, for example, paragraphs [0038]

and [0043]-[0044] of Durst). To provide for the necessity of the user to point the remote control

unit 10, 10A at the web-ready television 20 or the television set-top conversion unit 20a in order to transmit the scanned data, the system of Durst requires the user to either press a transmit

button 24 or alternatively to wait for a delay of time after the scanned data is captured to transmit the scanned data. Thus, Durst contains no teaching or suggestion of "forming a representation of

machine recognizable code (MRC) information contained within an MRC using the remote

control device in response to the user pressing a first button of the remote control device...",

"wirelessly transmitting the representation of the MRC information contained within the MRC to

a network interface device in response to the step of forming", and "displaying the downloaded

information on a display at the user location, such that when displayed, substantially immediate

feedback of displayed information is provided to the user in response to the step of forming" as

recited in Claim 1

The Office Action asserts on page 5 that Figures 1B2, 1B4 and 4 of Wilz teaches

"wirelessly transmitting the representation of the MRC information contained within the MRC to

a network interface device in response to the step of forming" and "displaying the downloaded

information on a display at the user location, such that when displayed, substantially immediate

feedback of displayed information is provided to the user in response to the step of forming."

The Office Action further asserts that "it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Durst and Wilz to take advantage of the

internet terminal with browser of Wilz to display product-related information after scanning the

bar code." Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicant respectfully submits that it would not be

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the Durst and Wilz references in the manner

indicated in the Office Action as such a combination would render the system of Durst

inoperative.

As discussed above, the system of Durst requires a user to first depresses a scan button

22 of a remote control unit 10, 10A to scan a machine readable symbol 12, and then requires that

the user point the remote control unit 10, 10A at a web-ready television 20 or television set-top conversion unit 20a and press a transmit button 24 to transmit image data captured during

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

Attv. Dkt. No. RPXC-26,630

Page 11 of 13

scanning to the web-ready television 20 or the television set-top conversion unit 20a. Thus, the

system of Durst requires that the remote control unit 10, 10A be repositioned by the user after

scanning before the scanned data is transmitted. In contrast, Wilz describes a system having an automatic laser scanning bar code symbol reader 7A for scanning a bar code symbol encoded

automatic laser scanning bar code symbol reader 7A for scanning a bar code symbol encoded with a URL. As described in column 17, line 57 to column 18, lines 12 of Wilz, the automatic

laser scanning bar code reader 7A automatically scans and reads a URL-encoded bar code

symbol 8 when the bar code symbol is aligned with a sighting aperture. Applicant respectfully

submits that combining the automatic scanning system of Wilz with the system of Durst would

render the system of *Durst* inoperable because the automatic scanning system of *Wilz* would not

allow the user to perform the required step in *Durst* of repositioning the remote control unit

before the scanned data is transmitted because the scanned data would be transmitted

immediately after the scanning procedure. The user would not be provided with enough time to

reposition the remote control unit before such transmission. In view of the foregoing, Applicant

respectfully submits that Claim 1 is allowable over the cited references and requests that the 35

U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 1 be withdrawn.

Claim 11 includes features similar to those found in Claim 1 and thus is allowable for

analogous reasons. Claims 2-10 and 12-20 are dependent upon and include the features of

Claims 1 and 11, respectfully. In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the

35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of Claims 1-20 be withdrawn.

New claims 21-25 have been restricted as being "directed to an invention that is

independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed." Applicant has withdrawn Claims

21-25 from consideration.

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL SN: 10/780.109

Atty, Dkt, No. RPXC-26,630

Page 12 of 13

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt in order to place this case in condition for allowance. For the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of the claims as amended. Please charge any additional fees or deficiencies in fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-0780/RPXC-26,630 of HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted, HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P. Attorneys for Applicant

/Michael W. Maddox Reg. #47764/

Michael W. Maddox Registration No. 47,764

GMH/MM/sjg

P.O. Box 741715 Dallas, Texas 75374-1715 Tel: 972-479-0462

Fax: 972-479-0464 February 1, 2010